

**Oil Spill Recovery Institute  
Advisory Board Meeting  
Minutes  
September 11 & 12, 2003**

**Location:** Public Library Meeting Room, Cordova, Alaska

The meeting was called to order by Chairman John Calder Thursday, September 11, 2003 at 9:51 AM. and introductions were offered. Ed Zeine welcomed everyone to sunny Cordova.

**Board members present (9-11-03):** John Calder, Gail Evanoff, Mark Fink, Carol Fries, John Goering, Doug Lentsch, Douglas Mutter, Walt Parker (via teleconference), Leslie Pearson, Susan Saupe, and Ed Thompson. **Absent:** Virginia Adams, Jack Davin, R.J. Kopchak (joined meeting at 5:05 PM), Marilyn Leland, and Glenn Ujioka.

A quorum was established.

**Visitors (9-11-03):** Michael Lilly (GW Scientific), Phil Mundy (EVOS Trustees), Gail Phillips (EVOS Trustees), Mark Vincent (NOAA), Marcia Weaks (NOAA/CO-OPS), and Ed Zeine.

**Staff present (9-11-03):** Nancy Bird, Mary Anne Bishop, Walter Cox, Shelton Gay, Tom Kline, Steve Okkenen, Penny Oswald, Dick Thorne, and Kate Williams.

**Recorder:** Barclay Jones-Kopchak

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <p><b>9-11-03 Agenda Approval</b></p>   | <p><b>Agenda Approval</b> - Thompson felt a discussion of the relationship between the Work Plan Committee and the Science &amp; Technology Committer was needed. The agenda was amended to include same as part of the Science &amp; Technology Committee Report scheduled for the afternoon.<br/><b>Motion by Fries</b> and seconded by Pearson to approve the amended agenda of 9-11-03.<br/><b>Motion passed unanimously.</b></p> |
| <p><b>Public Comment</b></p> <p>● <b>Proposed Spill Response Vessel Plans</b></p> | <p><b>Development of Optimal Spill Response Vessel</b> - Tom Lakosh of Anchorage (via teleconference) wanted the Board to fully fund a project to identify and develop an optimal sub-arctic oil spill response vessel. He had concluded that a tri-maran equipped with an ice vibrator, incline plane skimming system and coordinated propulsion/skimming system would work</p>  |

|   |  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>best and was working on engineering plans for same. Calder requested that Lakosh collect this information in a letter to Bird for the Board's more considered review.</p>   |
| <p><b>Minutes Approval</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● 2-20-03 &amp; 2-21-03 Minutes Approved</li> <li>● 6-17-03 Minutes Approved</li> </ul>       | <p><b>Typos corrected</b> - Board members corrected several typos in the 2-20-03 / 2-21-03 Minutes, to wit: Page 1's date should read Feb. <u>20</u> – 21; Page 3's top line should read John <u>G</u>oring; Page 4 item '1' should read "...ensure fiduciary responsibilities are <u>met</u>..."</p> <p><b>Motion by Thompson</b> seconded by Evanoff to approve the Minutes of 2-20-03 / 2-21-03 as corrected.<br/> <b>Motion passed unanimously.</b></p> <p><b>Motion second identified:</b> On page 1 the appointment of the OSRI Director was actually seconded by Pearson</p> <p><b>Motion by Lentsch</b> seconded by Fries to approve the Minutes of 6-17-03 as corrected.<br/> <b>Motion passed unanimously.</b></p> |
| <p><b>Chair's Report</b></p>  | <p><b>Goals attained</b> - Calder compared OSRI's current status with that of a year ago and observed that the Institute had followed through on its strategic plan and achieved its goals. He thanked the Board for the considerable time they contributed and for their successful efforts.</p>  |
| <p><b>Parker Reports</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● North Pacific Research Board</li> <li>● Emergency Prevention Preparedness Response</li> </ul> | <p><b>North Pacific Research Board (NPRB)</b> - Parker reported that at the NPRB's last meeting there had been considerable discussion of the role of contaminants. Senator Stevens was exerting pressure to fund another \$3 million in research, though this was still in conference. NPRB would next meet in October.</p> <p><b>Emergency Prevention Preparedness Response (EPPR) Murmansk Meeting</b> - Parker described the intense level of research as the Russians prepared to build a Valdez-sized pipeline terminus over the next ten years to receive six new pipelines. The Scandinavians were especially concerned over the proposed activity. (<i>See</i> EPPR Report, Meeting packet, Tab 3.)</p>             |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <p><b>Director's Report</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff Changes</li> <br/> <li>• Transition Year highlights</li> <br/> <li>• OSRI Mandate &amp; Funding</li> <br/> <li>• Goals</li> </ul> | <p><b>Staff Changes</b> - Bird said that several fine candidates had been recruited for the Science Director position. A new Director should be on board within a few months.</p> <p>Bird also reported that she is working on funding to hire Kate Williams as a part-time Vice-President in October.</p> <p><b>Transition year highlights</b> -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Nowcast/Forecast June Workshop</i>: reviewed Prince William Sound (PWS) Nowcast/Forecast System and drafted a Request for Proposal for the addition of a biological modeling component within the system</li> <li>- Switgard Duesterloh, OSRI's first doctoral fellow, had been awarded her PhD.</li> </ul> <p>Bird reviewed other Institute partnerships and noted two new websites; <a href="http://www.pws-osri.org">www.pws-osri.org</a> &amp; <a href="http://www.pws.pwsoos.org">www.pws.pwsoos.org</a> (PWS Ocean Observing System). Calder complimented Bird on the evidence of increased partnering activities.</p> <p><b>OSRI Mandate &amp; Funding</b> - Congress has extended OSRI's existence through 2012. The Prince William Sound Science Center (PWSSC) Board continues to work to further extend OSRI's life to match that of the pipeline.</p> <p>Low interest earnings on OSRI's \$22.5 million endowment fund had reduced its budget to \$860,000. (The PWSSC Board was lobbying to shift monies to its control to increase its fund earnings potential.) Bird announced that a \$500,000 line item Congressional appropriation had just been received by PWSSC to further work on the Nowcast Forecast System.</p> <p><b>Goals</b> - Bird stated that the review and amendment of the Grant Policy Manual were still needed. Also needed were improved communications between the Work Plan and Science &amp; Technology Committees and clarification of their roles.</p> <p>► Thompson requested that Bird e-mail a copy of her</p> |
|--|--|

|   |  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>Director's Presentation to Board members.</p> <p><i>(A break was taken from 10:55 – 11:30 AM.)</i></p>  |
| <p><b>Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council Report</b></p> <p>• Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring Partnerships</p> | <p><b>Research Activity Status</b> - Phil Mundy, Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council (EVOS) Science Director, introduced Gail Phillips, the Trustee Council's new Executive Director. They announced that the 2004 Work Plan would be discussed at the Trustee Council meeting on 10-03-03 and invited everyone to their annual meeting in mid-January.</p> <p>Under the Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) Science plan, adopted by the Trustee Council last year, Mundy identified proposed partnering with both OSRI and PWSSC and research activities. He was hopeful that the first full invitation for proposals would be approved at the October meeting. He anticipated a 'whole ecosystem natural resource model' management product.</p> |
| <p><b>Public Comments:</b></p>  | <p><b>Proposed Forecast Improvement Conference</b> - Ken Adams invited the Board to consider 'partnerships with the public.' A publicly identified research need was for improved accuracy in the forecasting of pink salmon returns. He and Ross Mullins had proposed hosting a three day "Forecasting Accuracy Improvement" conference to EVOS. He was hopeful that GEM, the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, and OSRI would be supportive of such an effort.</p> <p><i>(A lunch break was taken from 12:25 – 1:30 PM.)</i></p>   |
| <p><b>FY'03 OSRI Projects Annual Reports</b></p>  | <p><b>Project Review</b> - Bird provided an overview of the FY03 Work Plan (Handout). She noted that the Oil &amp; Ice project would be completed in FY04.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Fink would like to see the budgets associated with each research project.</li> <li>▶ Bird was asked to check with Shari Vaughan regarding her promised final report on Satellite- Tracked Drifting Buoys.</li> </ul> <p><b>G.W. Science</b> - Michael Lilly described the</p>  |

|  |   |
|--|---|
|  | <p>meteorological monitoring stations maintained by a citizen support network of partnerships with the Chugach School District (Whittier, Chenega Bay, and Tatitlek) He had been formalizing a training program with a myriad of small partnerships.</p> <p><b>PAH Monitoring Instrumentation</b> – In June, researchers from Arizona State University traveled to Prince William Sound to test the PAH field monitoring instrument. The tests were inconclusive due to equipment problems. Jeep Rice and Walter Cox met with them in Cordova. Goering and Fink encouraged a renewed proposal be submitted to NOAA’s Small Business Innovations Program for PAH monitoring instrumentation development.</p>   |
| <p><b>Science &amp; Technology Report</b></p> <p>● Charter Conflict of Interest Language Needed</p> <p>● Nowcast/Forecast Field Tests Proposed</p> | <p><b>Committee Role &amp; Conflict of Interest Considerations</b> - The Committee determined at their July 26/27 meeting that the Charter described its purpose well. (<i>See Meeting Packet, Tab 6</i>). The Committee, said Goering, would report to both the Board and the Science Director. Highlighted areas in the Charter indicated changes needed. The conflict of interest statement required input. Calder noted that any language must deal with the specific situation of an individual committee member’s research proposals.</p> <p>▶ The Science &amp; Technology Committee agreed to have an updated version of the Charter with specific conflict of interest language ready for approval at the next meeting.</p> <p>▶ The Board postponed signing the Charter until the conflict of interest language was completed.</p> <p><b>Nowcast/Forecast Field Test</b> - The Committee had concluded that current Nowcast/Forecast system projects were going well but recommended testing them through a field exercise to fine tune the research focus. The Committee strongly recommended that Walter Cox be involved in this experiment. Currently,</p> |

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <b>Central Data Collection</b></li> </ul>  | <p>two field experiments were envisioned: the first a shake-out session with OSRI, the second a coordinated collaboration with NOAA, the State of Alaska, et al. One of the positive outcomes is for OSRI to take a leadership position in these field experiments. The Committee had extensively reviewed NFS proposals and had found all six worth funding. All of the proposals should be involved in the experiment (with the possible exception of the Copper River project).</p> <p><b>Central Data Collection</b> - Goering remarked that there was a cost-saving move afoot for GEM, NPRB, and OSRI to create a central data collection site to save money. NPRB should take a lead position in this effort. Mundy suggested that NASA might be willing to devote some funds to this.</p> <p><i>(A break was taken from 3:00 – 3:15 PM.)</i></p>   |
| <p><b>Finance Committee Report</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <b>FY03 Audit Report</b></li> <br/> <li>● <b>Proposed FY04 Work Plan</b></li> </ul> | <p><b>FY03 Audit Report</b> - Penny Oswalt presented the FY03 Third Quarter Report. The drop in OSRI administrative funds resulted from the low interest return on its endowment. There were \$1.3 million in Total Unencumbered Funds Available.</p> <p><b>FY04 Work Plan</b> – The proposed FY04 Work Plan funding levels were reviewed. An initial inclusion of \$10,000 in funding for the PAH Field Monitor Development project was eliminated from the proposed work plan and these funds transferred to the budget for OSRI continued contributions to the development of a statewide database using Response™ Software. Total proposed for this database project is now \$35,000. Mutter noted that the National Research Council’s review committee did not find the database project to fit within OSRI’s mandate. Lentsch pointed out that the development of a standardized nomenclature represented a huge advance for data sharing. It was noted that the proposed work plan includes \$125,000 for Graduate Level Fellowships (an increase of \$25K). Fries expressed</p> |

|                                 |  |
|---------------------------------|--|
|                                 | <p>concern that fellowship monies not be used as “backdoor bump-up” funding for specific projects. The \$120,000 budgeted for the new Science Director includes travel monies for the Science Director. Moving costs would come from position recruitment funds.</p> <p><i>(R.J. Kopchak joined the meeting at 5:05 PM.)</i></p>   |
| <p><b>By-Law Amendments</b></p> | <p><b>By-Law Amendments - Motion by Mutter</b>, seconded by Fries to accept the amendments to Article III §3 and Article VII §2 (B) as presented:</p> <p><u>Article III, Section 3</u><br/> <u>Termination.</u> The Institute shall terminate in <del>August of the year 2006,</del> <u>September 30, 2012</u> as stipulated by <del>the 1996 amended Section 5001(i) of</del> <u>amendments</u> to the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, or at any other date as determined by Congress.</p> <p><u>Article VII, Section 2</u><br/> <u>(B) Operational details of the Scientific and Technical Committee – including meeting schedules, specific roles and proposal reviews duties - are specified in the Committee’s Charter which is reviewed and adopted by the Advisory Board at least every two years.</u> <del>Committee Chairperson: This Committee Chairperson shall be appointed by the Board Chairperson and shall serve a two year term, or otherwise serve at the pleasure of the Board Chairperson.</del></p> <p><del>(C) Review of proposals: The Scientific and Technical Committee shall review proposals in accordance with the process identified in the OSRI Grant Policy Manual.</del></p> <p><b>Motion passed unanimously.</b></p> |
| <p><b>Recess</b></p>            | <p><b>Recess -</b> <i>The meeting was recessed until the following morning, Friday, September 12, 2003.</i></p>  |

**Friday, September 12, 2003  
(Continuation of 9-11-03 Meeting)**

**Reconvention of 9-11-03 OSRI Board of Directors Meeting:**

The meeting was reconvened from the previous day and called to order by Chairman John Calder Friday, September 12, 2003 at 8:35 AM.

**Board members present (9-12-03):** Nancy Bird, John Calder, Gail Evanoff, Mark Fink, Carol Fries, John Goering, R.J. Kopchak, Doug Lentsch, Douglas Mutter, Walt Parker, Leslie Pearson, Susan Saupe, and Ed Thompson. **Absent:** Virginia Adams, Jack Davin, Marilyn Leland, and Glenn Ujioka.

A quorum was established.

**Visitors (9-12-03):** Ed Backus, Bob Giesse, Meera Kohler, Michael Lilly (GW Scientific), Ole Mathisen, Dave McFarland (NOAA, PORTS), Chuck Meacham, Gale Vick, Mark Vincent (NOAA), Marcia Weeks (NOAA, CO-OPS), and Ed Zeine.

**Staff present (9-12-03):** Walter Cox, Shelton Gay, Steve Okkenen, Penny Oswald, Dick Thorne, and Kate Williams.

**Recorder:** Barclay Jones-Kopchak

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <p><b>FY04 Work Plan</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Database Maintenance Contribution Eliminated</li> </ul> | <p><b>FY04 Work Plan (See Meeting Packet, Tab 8):</b></p> <p><b>Database Maintenance Contribution Eliminated - Motion by Thompson</b>, seconded by Mutter to eliminate the Response™ Software contribution in the amount of \$35,000 to blunt the budget deficit.<br/><b>The motion passed.</b></p> <p><b>Projects of Opportunity Reduction - Motion by Thompson</b>, seconded by Mutter, to reduce the Projects of Opportunity to \$25,000 and to place any such projects for ad hoc consideration by the Executive Committee.<br/><b>The motion failed.</b></p> <p><b>FY04 Work Plan - Motion by Kopchak</b>, seconded by Parker, to accept the FY04 Work Plan as amended by the elimination of</p> |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● FY04 Work Plan</li> </ul>  |   |

- **Individual Large Grant Proposals (Meeting Packet, Tab 9)**

the Response™ Software line item.  
**The motion passed unanimously.**

**Atmospheric Modeling -**

**Motion by Fries**, seconded by Parker, to approve the Atmospheric Modeling Project submitted by Peter Olsson with a revised budget of \$123,797.

**The motion passed unanimously.**

**PWS Nowcast/Forecast System, Ocean Circulation Model and NFS (Mooers)** - Bird clarified that she had sent a letter to C.K. Mooers requesting participation in the proposed field experiments as part of the award, not as an add-on.

**Motion by Mutter**, seconded by Kopchak to approve this proposal at \$125,000 with the proviso that the Principal Investigator submit a satisfactory annual progress report to the Director.

**The motion passed by a vote of 9-1.** (N.B., Saupe's nay vote was to protest the funding reduction from \$150,000 to \$125,000: she supported the project.)

**Physical Oceanographic Observations (Vaughan) -**

Although the proposal was submitted by the now absent Vaughan, Bird explained that the policy manual did permit post-award principal investigator substitutions. However, Calder stated that a new proposal with the new principal investigator was needed. Bird suggested a mid-November teleconference to approve the revised proposal with the Interim Oceanographer, Steve Okkenen.

**Motion by Kopchak**, seconded by Pearson, to defer action pending resubmission of the proposal with the proper principal investigator at a teleconference.

**The motion passed unanimously.**

**Intertidal Resources at Risk to Oil (Bishop, Powers)**

- Board members inquired about the investigation of baseline data hydrocarbon levels. Cox explained that

|   |   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>Bishop was indeed interested in such data and had determined that it would require \$40,000. However, she was still looking for funding of same.</p> <p><b>Motion by Mutter</b>, seconded by Thompson, to accept the Bishop/Powers proposal.<br/> <b>The motion passed unanimously.</b></p>  |
| <p><b>Work Plan / Science &amp; Technology Committees' Relationship</b></p> | <p><b>Work Plan / Science &amp; Technology Committees' Relationship</b> - Thompson announced that he was prepared to work up language regarding the relationship between the Work Plan and the Science &amp; Technology Committees.</p> <p>► Calder directed that once the FY05 Work Plan Committee was established they could confer with the Science &amp; Technology Committee regarding the appropriate language to bring before the Board in February.</p> <p><i>(A ten minute break was taken.)</i></p>   |
| <p><b>Election of Officers</b></p>  | <p><b>Vice-Chair: Motion by Kopchak</b>, seconded by Thompson, to re-elect Doug Mutter as Vice-Chair.<br/> <b>The motion passed unanimously.</b><br/> <b>Mutter was elected Vice-Chair by acclamation.</b></p> <p><b>Secretary: Motion by Mutter</b>, seconded by Fink, to elect Marilyn Leland as Secretary.<br/> <b>The motion passed unanimously.</b><br/> <b>Leland was elected Secretary by acclamation.</b></p> <p><b>Executive Committee Members-at-Large (2) - Motion by Kopchak</b>, seconded by Fink, to elect Fries as Executive Committee Member-at-Large.<br/> <b>The motion passed unanimously.</b><br/> <b>Fries was elected Member-at-Large by acclamation.</b></p> <p><b>Motion by Thompson</b>, seconded by Mutter, to elect Lentsch as Executive Committee Member-at-Large.<br/> <b>The motion passed unanimously.</b></p> |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <p>● Election Results</p>  | <p><b>Lentsch was elected Member-at-Large by acclamation.</b></p> <p><b>Election Results Summary -</b><br/> Vice-Chair: Mutter<br/> Treasurer: Kopchak<br/> Secretary: Leland<br/> Executive Committee Members-at-Large: Fries, Lentsch</p>  |
| <p><b>Committee Appointments</b></p> <p>● Finance Committee Membership Summary</p> | <p><b>Finance Committee -</b> Treasurer Kopchak was acknowledged as the ex officio Finance Committee Chair.</p> <p><b>Finance Committee Members (3) -</b><br/> <b>(1) Motion by Thompson,</b> seconded by Lentsch, to appoint Mark Fink as Finance Committee Member.<br/> <b>The motion passed unanimously.</b><br/> <b>Fink was appointed Finance Committee Member.</b></p> <p><b>(2) Motion by Fink,</b> seconded by Saupe, to appoint Doug Mutter as Finance Committee Member.<br/> <b>The motion passed unanimously.</b><br/> <b>Mutter was appointed Finance Committee Member.</b></p> <p><b>(3) Motion by Fink,</b> seconded by Kopchak, to appoint Marilyn Leland as Finance Committee Member.<br/> <b>The motion passed unanimously.</b><br/> <b>Leland was appointed Finance Committee Member.</b></p> <p><b>Finance Committee Membership Summary -</b><br/> Fink, Kopchak (Chair), Leland, and Mutter.</p> <p><b>FY05 Work Plan Committee Members (5) -</b><br/> <b>(1) Motion by Thompson,</b> seconded by Kopchak to appoint Susan Saupe as FY05 Work Plan Committee Member.<br/> <b>The motion passed unanimously.</b><br/> <b>Saupe was appointed FY05 Work Plan Committee</b></p> |

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <p>● FY05 Work Plan Committee Membership Summary</p> | <p><b>Member.</b></p> <p><b>(2) Motion by Mutter</b>, seconded by Kopchak to appoint Leslie Pearson as FY05 Work Plan Committee Member.<br/> <b>The motion passed unanimously.</b><br/> <b>Pearson was appointed FY05 Work Plan Committee Member.</b></p> <p><b>(3) Motion by Mutter</b>, seconded by Kopchak to appoint Ed Thompson as FY05 Work Plan Committee Member.<br/> <b>The motion passed unanimously.</b><br/> <b>Thompson was appointed FY05 Work Plan Committee Member.</b></p> <p><b>(4) Motion by Kopchak</b>, seconded by Thompson to appoint John Goering as FY05 Work Plan Committee Member.<br/> <b>The motion passed unanimously.</b><br/> <b>Goering was appointed FY05 Work Plan Committee Member.</b></p> <p><b>(5) Motion by Saupe</b>, seconded by Mutter to appoint Walt Parker as FY05 Work Plan Committee Member.<br/> <b>The motion passed unanimously.</b><br/> <b>Parker was appointed FY05 Work Plan Committee Member.</b></p> <p><b>FY05 Work Plan Committee Membership Summary -</b><br/> Goering, Parker, Pearson, Saupe, and Thompson.</p> |
| <p><b>NOAA Presentations</b></p>                     | <p><b>NOAA /NOS/CO-OPS (Center of Operational Oceanographic Products and Services) -</b> Marcia Weaks provided an overview of CO-OPS and their methodologies. The Center provides infrastructure data for navigation, habitat, hazards, and coastal management by focusing on tides and currents. She listed the current Alaskan data collection points.</p>  |

|  |  |
|--|--|
|  | <p><b>NOAA/PORTS (Physical Oceanographic Real-Time System) -</b> PORTS Program Director David MacFarland described how PORTS produced real-time data for marine transportation and safety detailing currents, meteorological updates, and sea/water levels. PORTS was a ‘partnership system’ paid for by interested client users. Partnerships could be cobbled together from any interested groups. MacFarland would be glad to perform a requirements assessment for Prince William Sound and then start to assess whether there was an identifiable funding stream. He noted that typically it took six months to sign an agreement and up to two years to purchase the necessary equipment (as in Delaware Bay). It would probably require more than a year to have a system up and running in Prince William Sound.</p> |
| <p><b>Adjournment of OSRI-only Board Meeting</b></p> | <p><b>Switch from OSRI Board Meeting to Joint Meeting with PWSSC:</b> <i>A lunch break was taken. Following lunch the OSRI Board meeting was reconvened as a joint meeting of the OSRI and PWSSC Boards to consider issues of mutual concern. Minutes for same are filed in a separate document.</i></p>   |



|  |  |
|--|--|
| <p>● <b>Oil Spill Response Equipment Development Forum Proposed</b></p> <p>● <b>Coastal Observing Program Monies</b></p> | <p><b>Spill Response Equipment Development Forum Proposed –</b> Allen stated that a forum was needed to host discussions with scientists and manufacturers regarding oil spill response equipment development. For example, he cited the need to adapt ‘current busters’ for river use. Pearson said some State funds were available to pursue “best available technology.” Such a forum could be a joint effort between the State and PWSCC. Betty Shorer would be the State contact person regarding these funds.</p> <p>Backus wondered if there had been any Alaskan consortium interest in the \$15 million in grant monies available from the Coastal Observing Program at Charleston.</p> <p>▶ Bird would follow up on Requests for Proposals (due 10-31-03) from the Coastal Observing Program and look for opportunities to ‘close-out’ or transfer projects to the National Fisheries Service.</p> |
| <p><b>Calendar Review</b></p>  | <p><b>11-25-03, Tuesday at 10:00 AM:</b> <i>OSRI Board teleconference</i> to review Okkenen (formerly Vaughan) proposal<br/> <b>1-20-04:</b> <i>Science &amp; Technology Committee Meeting</i> (with half day set aside for work the <i>Work Plan Committee</i>)<br/> <b>2-12-04 &amp; 2-13- 04:</b> <i>OSRI Advisory Board</i><br/> <b>6-12-04:</b> <i>Copper River Nouveau</i></p>   |
| <p><b>OSRI Board Comments</b></p>  | <p>Kopchak suggested that OSRI offer an “X” prize for oil spill clean-up technology.</p> <p>▶ Saupe requested that the record of the 9-12-03 OSRI Meeting reflect the reasoning behind her dissenting vote on the Mooers proposal.</p>   |
| <p><b>Adjournment</b></p>  | <p><b>Motion by Kopchak</b>, seconded by Evanoff, to adjourn.<br/> <b>The motion passed unanimously at 3:00 PM</b></p>   |